

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



*Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a*

VOL. LXXIX No. 51

June 19, 2018

231 Pages

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Beale v. Martins (Order) (See Rutter v. Janis), 329 C 904 . . . . .	28
Carrion v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 329 C 907 . . . . .	31
Ferreira v. Martins (Order) (See Rutter v. Janis), 329 C 904 . . . . .	28
Jobe v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 329 C 906 . . . . .	30
OneWest Bank, N.A. v. Frey (Order), 329 C 907 . . . . .	31
Rutter v. Janis (Order), 329 C 904 . . . . .	28
State v. Acampora (Order), 329 C 903 . . . . .	27
State v. Artiano (Order), 329 C 906 . . . . .	30
State v. Jordan, 329 C 272 . . . . .	2
<i>Assault second degree; self-defense; motion to preclude evidence; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court improperly excluded victim's subsequent domestic violence convictions, which were offered by defendant as evidence that victim initiated confrontation with defendant, when conduct forming basis for victim's convictions occurred subsequent to charged incident; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court's preclusion of evidence of victim's convictions was harmless error.</i>	
State v. Kaminski (Order), 329 C 905 . . . . .	29
State v. Kukucka (Order), 329 C 905 . . . . .	29
State v. Moore (Order), 329 C 905 . . . . .	29
State v. Rivera (Order), 329 C 907 . . . . .	31
State v. Tierinni, 329 C 289 . . . . .	19
<i>Sexual assault second degree; risk of injury to child; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that defendant had waived claim regarding his right to be present during sidebar conferences at which certain evidentiary objections were discussed, insofar as he agreed to trial court's use of that procedure.</i>	
Teixeira v. Home Depot, Inc. (Order), 329 C 903 . . . . .	27
Rutter v. Janis (Order) (replacement page), 328 C 930 . . . . .	vii
Volume 329 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	33

### CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Deutsche Bank National Trust Co. v. Davis (Memorandum Decision), 182 CA 903 . . . .	161A
Hall v. Hall, 182 CA 736 . . . . .	82A
<i>Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court improperly found plaintiff in contempt of court order; whether plaintiff relied on advice of counsel when he withdrew certain funds from parties' joint account in violation of court's order; whether trial court improperly ignored certain evidence in denying motion for reconsideration; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying parties' joint motion to open and vacate judgment of contempt; whether there was evidence in record to support claim that contempt finding would have adverse effect on plaintiff's career.</i>	
Lyons v. Citron, 182 CA 725 . . . . .	71A
<i>Summary process; notice to quit; claim that trial court erroneously rendered judgment for plaintiff on ground of nonpayment of rent when plaintiff prematurely served defendants with notice to quit on same day first summary process action was withdrawn instead of waiting nine days after rent became due to serve notice as required by statute (§ 47a-15a); whether trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to consider second summary process action because service of second notice to quit failed to comply with statutory timing requirements; whether, when</i>	

*(continued on next page)*

- landlord files summary process action based on notice to quit and subsequently withdraws action, lease is restored, its terms apply prospectively, rent becomes due on day summary process action is withdrawn, and reinstatement of lease triggers new nine day grace period within which tenant must pay rent in order to avoid summary process action.*
- Nichols v. Oxford, 182 CA 674. . . . . 20A  
*Failure to keep highway in good repair; action, pursuant to statute (§ 13a-103), seeking order directing defendant town to repair and maintain unimproved sections of highway; claim that trial court erred in finding certain sections of road did not comprise part of highway and had been abandoned; whether abandonment of highway could be inferred from circumstances or presumed from long continued neglect; credibility determinations.*
- Peters v. United Community & Family Services, Inc., 182 CA 688 . . . . . 34A  
*Medical malpractice; motion to dismiss; personal jurisdiction; sufficiency of opinion letter authored by similar health care provider; claim that opinion letter was defective in that it did not indicate on its face that author was board certified and therefore “similar health care provider” as defined by statute (§ 52-184c); whether plaintiff could cure admittedly defective opinion letter after relevant statute of limitations period had lapsed by filing, with opposition to motion to dismiss, affidavit attesting to author’s board certification.*
- Sikorsky Financial Credit Union, Inc. v. Pineda, 182 CA 802 . . . . . 148A  
*Contracts; postjudgment interest; default for failure to appear; motion for order of postmaturity postjudgment interest; whether statute (§ 37-1) governing award of interest mandated that interest eo nomine continue to accrue after maturity where parties did not disclaim accrual of interest eo nomine after maturity and loan agreement provided that defendant borrower would pay interest until debt was satisfied; whether plaintiff was required to present additional evidence to support claim for postjudgment interest when trial court that rendered judgment awarded amount sought in plaintiff’s motion for judgment, which included interest calculated as of date motion was filed, but judgment did not expressly state that postmaturity postjudgment interest would accrue.*
- State v. Papineau, 182 CA 756 . . . . . 102A  
*Assault in first degree; conspiracy to commit assault in first degree; whether trial court improperly precluded testimony about defendant’s telephone conversation with former wife; reviewability of claim that testimony of coconspirator should have been admitted because it was offered as impeachment evidence and circumstantial evidence of defendant’s state of mind in order to demonstrate that he had not confessed to being involved in beating of victim; reviewability of unpreserved claim that coconspirator’s testimony was admissible under residual exception to rule against hearsay; reviewability of unpreserved claim that trial court’s ruling deprived defendant of right to present defense; reviewability of unpreserved claim that trial court improperly precluded defendant from presenting testimony to rebut consciousness of guilt evidence; claim that trial court abused its discretion in admitting into evidence printout of certain text messages; claim that text messages*

(continued on next page)

## CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
 Office of Production and Distribution  
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
[www.jud.ct.gov](http://www.jud.ct.gov)

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

*were not properly authenticated; whether evidence was sufficient to support conviction of conspiracy to commit assault in first degree where defendant's conduct and statements bolstered finding that he had been active participant in preplanned retaliatory event.*

State v. White, 182 CA 656 . . . . .	2A
<i>Motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that reversal of decision denying motion to correct illegal sentence was required because counsel that was appointed to represent defendant for purpose of review mandated by State v. Casiano (282 Conn. 614) was acting as neutral agent of court rather than as defendant's advocate; reviewability of claim as to whether counsel was performing sufficiently as advocate; whether trial court properly determined that defendant did not have sound basis for claims raised in motion to correct illegal sentence and properly declined to appoint counsel to argue merits of motion; claim that sentencing court relied on inaccurate date concerning letter that defendant allegedly had sent from prison prior to sentencing; reviewability of unpreserved claim that trial court erred by not recusing itself from hearing merits of defendant's motion to correct illegal sentence because it functionally had predetermined merits when it found no sound basis for continuing representation by counsel; failure of defendant to prove actual bias as required to prove existence of constitutional violation under State v. Golding (213 Conn. 233); plain error; whether trial judge was prohibited from deciding related issues in same case; whether integrity of proceedings or perceived fairness of judicial system objectively had been threatened so as to warrant invocation of this court's supervisory authority.</i>	
State v. Wynne, 182 CA 706 . . . . .	52A
<i>Operating motor vehicle while under influence of intoxicating liquor or drugs; claim that evidence was insufficient to support conviction; claim that trial court abused its discretion in admitting testimony of state's drug recognition expert; whether expert's testimony required personal observation of defendant to be relevant; reviewability of claim that trial court failed to conduct hearing pursuant to State v. Porter (241 Conn. 57) before admitting testimony of state's drug recognition expert; reviewability of claims that trial court abused its discretion in permitting state's drug recognition expert to answer hypothetical question posed by state and that trial court improperly permitted expert to estimate blood alcohol content equivalent based on person's use of marijuana in conjunction with alcohol; plain error.</i>	
Zaccaria v. Zaccaria (Memorandum Decision), 182 CA 903 . . . . .	161A
State v. Turner (replacement pages), 181 CA 539–540 . . . . .	v
Volume 182 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	163A

## SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Summaries . . . . .	1B
---------------------	----

## NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

Higher Education Supplemental Loan Authority, Connecticut. . . . .	1C
Social Services, Department of . . . . .	2C

## MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Reinstatement with Probation . . . . .	1D
Supreme and Appellate Court Sessions. . . . .	2D
Supreme and Appellate Court 2018–19 Docket Assignments . . . . .	3D
Revised Law Journal Deadlines July–December 2018 . . . . .	5D